UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, v.	Case No. 8:17mj359
JAIME JAIME-GAYTON, Defendant	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Part I - Eligi	bility for Detention
Upon the ☐ Motion of the Government attorney pursu ☒ Motion of the Government or Court's own the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention	n motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
☑ Defendant waived a detention hearing at the second content of the second content	nis time and the court found that detention is warranted.
This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and addition to any other findings made at the hearing.	conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in
Part II - Findings of Fact and La	aw as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
and the community because the following condition □ (1) the defendant is charged with one of the fill (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 1 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum □ (b) an offense for which the maximum □ (c) an offense for which a maximum tent (a) through (b) any felony if such person has been (a) through (b) of this paragraph, or two described in subparagraphs (a) through jurisdiction had existed, or a combination (b) any felony that is not otherwise a crime (a) through felony that is not otherwise a crime (b) the defendant is considered.	nditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person is have been met: following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): 8 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § m term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or sentence is life imprisonment or death; or m of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the controlled Substances Import and Export oter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal on of such offenses; or ime of violence but involves:
(iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv□ (2) the defendant has previously been conviction	f a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); y) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i> sted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i> (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) ab while the defendant was on release pending to	ove for which the defendant has been convicted was committed trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>
• • •	elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the e described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

□ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:	
□ (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);	
\Box (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;	
☐ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;	;
☐ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or	f
☐ (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251 (2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.	۱,
\square C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above	
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)	
OR	
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	g,
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure	g,
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: □ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. □ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of the conditions of release will reasonably assure the conditions of release w	
 the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: □ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ⋈ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. 	
 the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: □ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ⋈ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: 	
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: □ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. □ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: □ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong	
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: □ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: □ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted □ Prior criminal history	
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision	
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons	
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse	
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons	
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment Lack of stable residence	
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment	
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment Lack of stable residence Lack of financially responsible sureties	
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment Lack of stable residence Lack of financially responsible sureties Lack of significant community or family ties to this district	
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment Lack of stable residence Lack of financially responsible sureties Lack of significant community or family ties to this district Significant family or other ties outside the United States	
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment Lack of stable residence Lack of financially responsible sureties Lack of significant community or family ties to this district Significant family or other ties outside the United States Lack of legal status in the United States	

8:17-cr-00332-RFR-MDN
AO 472 (Rev. 11/16), modified by NED (9/17)
 □ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement ☑ Use of alias(es) or false documents ☑ Background information unknown or unverified □ Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release □ The nature and circumstances of the offense charged. □ The current offense is a crime of violence. □ The current offense is a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591 □ The current offense is a crime of terrorism. □ The current offense involves a controlled substance, firearm, explosive or destructive device. □ The physical condition of the defendant.
☐ The mental condition of the defendant.
☐ The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's release.
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION: Click here to enter text.
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

s/ Michael D. Nelson United States Magistrate Judge

Date:

10/23/2017